Plato on the Blind Leading the Blind

Wednesday, July 8, 2020

It is easily provable that almost everyone you have seen and heard teaching Plato's so called Philosophy or Plato's theory of this or that, are simply blind babblers of nonsense.

The model or paradigm upon which Plato based his system of grammar on goes back to the Bible, exampled by Plato and Euclid, the computer today, and even your own biology, not to leave out the very definition of a thing, itself. Yet, that model is still absent today in grammar books. As Plato states in the following, without that model, the mind is blind. This metaphor is also used in the Bible. As that model is still not used today to produce correct grammar books, both the Bible and Plato are proven to be true.

The following are eight translations of the same Platonic text.

Socrates:: Then do you think that there is a particle of difference between the condition of blind persons, and the state of those who are absolutely destitute of the knowledge of things as they really are, and who possess in their Soul no distinct exemplar, and cannot, like painters, fix; their eyes on perfect truth as a perpetual standard of reference, to be contemplated with the minutest care, before they proceed to deal with earthly canons about things beautiful and just and good, laying them down where they are required, and where they already exist watching over their preservation? *The Republic* of Plato, book 6, John Llewelyn Davies and David James Vaughan.

Socrates:: What difference then is there between blind persons and those who are in fact deprived of the knowledge of each individual

essence, and have no clear demonstration of it in the soul, and cannot like painters who look at what is positively true, and refer everything thereto, examining it with all possible accuracy, if need be, form settled notions, of the beautiful, just, and good, and so maintain them, as if sanctioned by law? *The Republic* of Plato, book 6, Henry Davis.

Socrates:: Do you think, then, that there's any difference between the blind and those who are really deprived of the knowledge of each thing that is? The latter have no clear model in their souls, and so they cannot—in the manner of painters—look to what is most true, make constant reference to it, and study it as exactly as possible. Hence they cannot establish here on earth conventions about what is fine or just or good, when they need to be established, or guard and preserve them, once they have been established. *The Republic* of Plato, book 6, G.M.A. Grube, rev. C.D.C. Reeve.

Socrates:: And are not those who are verily and indeed wanting in the knowledge of the true being of each thing, and who have in their souls no clear pattern, and are unable as with a painter's eye to look at the absolute truth and to that original to repair, and having perfect vision of the other world to order the laws about beauty, goodness, justice in this, if not already ordered, and to guard and preserve the order of them—are not such persons, I ask, simply blind? *The Republic* of Plato, book 6, Jowett 3rd ed.

Socrates:: 'Then are they any better than blind who are always and in every case without the knowledge of that which really is, who have no distinct pattern in their soul, and cannot look away, like painters, to the perfect truth, and contemplate the standard which they have yonder with

the greatest care, before they prescribe the earthly notions concerning things beautiful and just and good, when such prescription is necessary, and who cannot guard and preserve them when once prescribed?' *The Republic* of Plato, book 6, A. D. Lindsay.

Socrates:: Do you think, then, that there is any appreciable difference between the blind and those who are veritably deprived of the knowledge of the veritable being of things, those who have no vivid pattern in their souls and so cannot, as painters look to their models, fix their eyes on the absolute truth, and always with reference to that ideal and in the exactest possible contemplation of it establish in this world also the laws of the beautiful, the just, and the good, when that is needful, or guard and preserve those that are established? *The Republic* of Plato, book 6, A. Paul Shorey.

Socrates:: Whether then do those appear to you to differ from the blind, who are wholly deprived of the knowledge of each particular being, and have neither a clear model in their soul, nor are able, as painters looking up to the truest model, and always referring themselves thither, and contemplating it in the most accurate manner possible, to establish here too in like manner just maxims of the beautiful, and just and good, if there be occasion to establish them, and to guard and preserve such as are already established? *The Republic* of Plato, book 6, H. Spens. 1763.

Socrates:: Whether then do those appear to you to differ from the blind, who are in reality deprived of the knowledge of each particular being, and have neither a clear paradigm in their soul, nor are able, as painters looking up to the truest paradigm, and always referring themselves thither, and contemplating it in the most accurate manner

possible, to establish here too in like manner just maxims of the beautiful, and just, and good, if there be occasion to establish them, and to guard and preserve such as are already established? *The Republic* of Plato, book 6, Thomas Taylor.

The ability to see the similar idea in the many examples is afforded by intelligence. The introduction of the human race to binary information processing as the foundation of all judgment goes back to the Bible, taught by Plato and Euclid; it is exampled in the computer today and even in our own biology. One can also say that it is also exampled by the very definition of a thing in the abstract. So, how is it, being a biological fact, a physical fact, being spoken of as being the solution to judgment, that it is still not to be found as the first principles of psychology, grammar, science, mathematics, and government today?

It is because a fool cannot comprehend anything of what they see, yet they also like fools flatter each other and more so, themselves.

In the Bible, it is written that it is sealed to man's understanding; that mankind would not even be able to read it until after a pure language is introduced globally to mankind.

Adam and Eve are a Conjugate Binary Pair which by complete induction and deduction, also known as recursion, produces the human race.

Dialectic, or speaking by two's is a synonym for binary information processing.

A line segment is an analog, the simplest example, of a unit or thing.

The human body can abstract only relative differences or the boundaries of things which is the binary definition of a thing.

So, how much intelligence is required to notice the intelligible binary as Language and that by demonstrable fact, there is only one language, this very same binary by the definition of a thing itself?

Every blink of your eye is telling you; off, on, off, a simple binary. The whole of human experience is telling you constantly that information, the universe itself, is a binary construct effected by binary recursion, yet man cannot see it. Imagine that, we have a whole history of the blind leading the blind. Being blind is a metaphor for being a fool, for being stupid, for being a destroyer, and being a whore. All of the self flattery in the world cannot contradict observable fact.

Every possible system of grammar is a method of utilizing binary recursion, and every grammar book today is filled with gibberish. Who, but a fool, would do that? By simple binary recursion, it is easily provable that there are four, and only four, categories of grammar: Common Grammar, Arithmetic, Algebra and Geometry. Each of these can be said to be the simplest methods possible of counting, of addressing and managing memory. A fool who does not recognize counting, in all of its various formats, cannot be said to know simple counting.

A teacher of grammar, like the Bible who puts these four into metaphor, and Plato who put them into pairs, teaches grammar as a grammar matrix. Binary information processing affords redundancy and cross-checking and allows the mind to take full advantage of perception. Grammar systems are not knowledge, they are used for the recursion of memory which our educators are still too stupid to realize.

When it is written, that the Bible is sealed to man's understanding until he becomes literate enough to read it, it is because it is a very special grammar book that despite centuries of the greatest so called scholars in the world, prove that they have always been too stupid to read it.

A mind manipulates virtual things. A thing is a relative constrained by correlatives, shapes and the material in shapes, a simple binary. Binary recursion always produces a binary result.

A mind is responsible for the production of standards of behavior. Standard of behavior is, again, the definition of a thing; as standard is to the absolute so too is behavior to the relative. It is a binary.

Yet all the words put into a Chomsky blender, still does not produce an ounce of sense.

As the Bible is a Grammar Book, by its own words, so to was Plato a grammar teacher who also furthered the study of binary information processing.

For those who would like it better if they were not blind and stupid, I have done considerable amount of work constructing a very special grammar portfolio which I call *The Art of Prophecy*. See the links with this video to find that work for free.